## AXEL-2018 <br> Introduction to Particle Accelerators

## Lattice calculations:

$\checkmark$ Lattices
$\checkmark$ Tune Calculations
$\checkmark$ Dispersion
$\checkmark$ Momentum Compaction
$\checkmark$ Chromaticity
$\checkmark$ Sextupoles

## A quick recap

$\checkmark$ We solved Hill's equation, which led us to the definition of transverse emittance and allowed us to describe particle motion in transverse phase space in terms of $\beta, \alpha$, etc...
$\checkmark$ We constructed the Transport Matrices corresponding to drift spaces and quadrupoles.
$\checkmark$ Now we must combine these matrices with the solution of Hill's equation to evaluate $\beta, a$, etc...

## Matrices \& Hill's equation

$\checkmark$ We can multiply the matrices of our drift spaces and quadrupoles together to form a transport matrix that describes a larger section of our accelerator.
$\checkmark$ These matrices will move our particle from one point $\left(x\left(s_{1}\right), x^{\prime}\left(s_{1}\right)\right)$ on our phase space plot to another $\left(x\left(s_{2}\right), x^{\prime}\left(s_{2}\right)\right)$, as shown in the matrix equation below.

$$
\binom{x\left(s_{2}\right)}{x^{\prime}\left(s_{2}\right)}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \cdot\binom{x\left(s_{1}\right)}{x^{\prime}\left(s_{1}\right)}
$$

$\checkmark$ The elements of this matrix are fixed by the elements through which the particles pass from point $s_{1}$ to point $s_{2}$.
$\checkmark$ However, we can also express ( $x, x^{\prime}$ ) as solutions of Hill's equation.

$$
x=\sqrt{\varepsilon \cdot \beta} \cos \phi \quad \text { and } \quad x^{\prime}=-\alpha \sqrt{\varepsilon / \beta} \cos \phi-\sqrt{\varepsilon / \beta} \sin \phi
$$

## Matrices \& Hill's s equation (2)


$x^{\prime}=-\alpha \sqrt{\varepsilon / \beta} \cos (\mu+\phi)-\sqrt{\varepsilon / \beta} \sin (\mu+\phi)$
$x^{\prime}=-\alpha \sqrt{\varepsilon / \beta} \cos \phi-\sqrt{\varepsilon / \beta} \sin \phi$
$\checkmark$ Assume that our transport matrix describes a complete turn around the machine.
$\checkmark$ Therefore: $\beta\left(s_{2}\right)=\beta\left(s_{1}\right)$
$\checkmark$ Let $\mu$ be the change in betatron phase over one complete turn.
$\checkmark$ Then we get for $x\left(s_{2}\right)$ :

$$
x\left(s_{2}\right)=\sqrt{\varepsilon \cdot \beta} \cos (\mu+\phi)=a \sqrt{\varepsilon \cdot \beta} \cos \phi-b \alpha \sqrt{\varepsilon / \beta} \cos \phi-b \sqrt{\varepsilon / \beta} \sin \phi
$$

## Matrices \& Hill's equation (3)

$\checkmark$ So, for the position $x$ at $s 2$ we have...

$$
\sqrt{\varepsilon \cdot \beta} \cos (\mu+\phi)=a \sqrt{\varepsilon \cdot \beta} \cos \phi-b \alpha \sqrt{\varepsilon / \beta} \cos \phi-b \sqrt{\varepsilon / \beta} \sin \phi
$$

$\cos \phi \cos \mu-\sin \phi \sin \mu$
$\checkmark$ Equating the 'sin' terms gives: $-\sqrt{\varepsilon . \beta} \sin \mu \sin \phi=-b \sqrt{\varepsilon / \beta} \sin \phi$
$\checkmark$ Which leads to: $b=\beta \sin \mu$
$\checkmark$ Equating the 'cos' terms gives:

$$
\sqrt{\varepsilon . \beta} \cos \mu \cos \phi=a \sqrt{\varepsilon . \beta} \cos \phi-\alpha \sqrt{\varepsilon . \beta} \sin \mu \cos \phi
$$

$\checkmark$ Which leads to: $a=\cos u+\alpha \sin \mu$
$\checkmark$ We can repeat this for $c$ and $d$.

## Matrices \& Twiss parameters

$\checkmark$ Remember previously we defined:
$\checkmark$ These are called TWISS parameters

$\checkmark$ Remember also that $\mu$ is the total betatron phase advance over one complete turn is.

$$
Q=\frac{\mu}{2 \pi}
$$

Number of betatron oscillations per turn
$\checkmark$ Our transport matrix becomes now:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \mu+\alpha \sin \mu & \beta \sin \mu \\
-\gamma \sin \mu & \cos \mu-\alpha \sin \mu
\end{array}\right)
$$
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## Lattice parameters

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \mu+\alpha \sin \mu & \beta \sin \mu \\
-\gamma \sin \mu & \cos \mu-\alpha \sin \mu
\end{array}\right)
$$

$\checkmark$ This matrix describes one complete turn around our machine and will vary depending on the starting point (s).
$\checkmark$ If we start at any point and multiply all of the matrices representing each element all around the machine we can calculate $a, \beta, \gamma$ and $\mu$ for that specific point, which then will give us $\beta(s)$ and $Q$
$\checkmark$ If we repeat this many times for many different initial positions (s) we can calculate our Lattice Parameters for all points around the machine.

## Lattice calculations and codes

$\checkmark$ Obviously $\mu(\operatorname{or} Q)$ is not dependent on the initial position ' $s$ ', but we can calculate the change in betatron phase, $\mathrm{d} \mu$, from one element to the next.
$\checkmark$ Computer codes like "MAD" or "Transport" vary lengths, positions and strengths of the individual elements to obtain the desired beam dimensions or envelope ' $\beta(s)$ ' and the desired 'Q'.
$\checkmark$ Often a machine is made of many individual and identical sections (FODO cells). In that case we only calculate a single cell and not the whole machine, as the the functions $\beta$ (s) and $\mathrm{d} \mu$ will repeat themselves for each identical section.
$\checkmark$ The insertion sections have to be calculated separately.

## The $\beta(s)$ and $Q$ relation.

$\checkmark Q=\frac{\mu}{2 \pi}$, where $\mu=\Delta \Phi$ over a complete turn
$\checkmark$ But we also found:
$\frac{d \phi(s)}{d s}=\frac{1}{\beta(s)}$
$\checkmark$ This leads to:

$\checkmark$ Increasing the focusing strength decreases the size of the beam envelope ( $\beta$ ) and increases $Q$ and vice versa.

## Tune corrections

$\checkmark$ What happens if we change the focusing strength slightly?
$\checkmark$ The Twiss matrix for our 'FODO' cell is given by:
$\left(\begin{array}{cc}\cos \mu+\alpha \sin \mu & \beta \sin \mu \\ -\gamma \sin \mu & \cos \mu-\alpha \sin \mu\end{array}\right)$
$\checkmark$ Add a small QF quadrupole, with strength dK and length ds.
$\checkmark$ This will modify the 'FODO' lattice, and add a horizontal focusing term:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
-d k d s & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
d k=\frac{d K}{(B \rho)}
$$

$$
f=\frac{(B \rho)}{d K d s}
$$

$\checkmark$ The new Twiss matrix representing the modified lattice is:
$\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ -d k d s & 1\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}\cos \mu+\alpha \sin \mu & \beta \sin \mu \\ -\gamma \sin \mu & \cos \mu-\alpha \sin \mu\end{array}\right)$

## Tune corrections (2)

$\checkmark$ This gives $\left(\begin{array}{cc}\cos \mu+\alpha \sin \mu & \beta \sin \mu \\ -d k d s(\cos \mu+\sin \mu)-\gamma \sin \mu & -d k d s \beta \sin \mu+\cos \mu-\alpha \sin \mu\end{array}\right)$
$\checkmark$ This extra quadrupole will modify the phase advance $\mu$ for the FODO cell.

New phase advance

$$
\mu_{1}=\mu+\mathrm{d} \mu
$$

Change in phase advance
$\checkmark$ If $\mathrm{d} \mu$ is small then we can ignore changes in $\beta$
$\checkmark$ So the new Twiss matrix is just:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \mu_{1}+\alpha \sin \mu_{1} & \beta \sin \mu_{1} \\
-\gamma \sin \mu_{1} & \cos \mu_{1}-\alpha \sin \mu_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Tune corrections (3)

$\checkmark$ These two matrices represent the same FODO cell therefore:
$\left(\begin{array}{cc}\cos \mu+\alpha \sin \mu & \beta \sin \mu \\ -d k d s(\cos \mu+\sin \mu)-\gamma \sin \mu & -d k d s \beta \sin \mu+\cos \mu-\alpha \sin \mu\end{array}\right)$
$\checkmark$ Which equals:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \mu_{1}+\alpha \sin \mu_{1} & \beta \sin \mu_{1} \\
-\gamma \sin \mu_{1} & \cos \mu_{1}-\alpha \sin \mu_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

$\checkmark$ Combining and compare the first and the fourth terms of these two matrices gives:

$$
2 \cos \mu_{1}=2 \cos \mu-\mathrm{dk} d \mathrm{ds} \beta \sin \mu
$$

Only valid for change in $b \ll$

## Tune corrections (4)



Remember $\mu_{1}=\mu+\mathrm{d} \mu$ and $\mathrm{d} \mu$ is small
$2 \cos \mu-2 \sin \mu d \mu$ $2 \sin \mu d \mu=d k d s \beta \sin \mu$

In the horizontal plane this is a QF
$d \mu=\frac{1}{2} d k d s \beta \quad$,but: $\mathrm{dQ}=\mathrm{d} \mu / 2 \pi$

$$
d Q h=+\frac{1}{4 \pi} d k . d s . \beta h
$$

If we follow the same reasoning for both transverse planes for both QF and QD quadrupoles


## Tune corrections (5)

## Let $\mathbf{d k}_{\mathbf{F}}=\mathbf{d k}$ for $\mathbf{Q F}$ and $\mathbf{d k}_{\mathbf{D}}=\mathbf{d k}$ for $\mathbf{Q D}$

$$
\beta_{\mathrm{hF}}, \beta_{\mathrm{vF}}=\beta \text { at } \mathbf{Q F} \text { and } \beta_{\mathrm{hD}}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathrm{vD}}=\beta \text { at } \mathbf{Q D}
$$

Then:

$$
\binom{d Q v}{d Q h}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{4 \pi} \beta_{v D} & \frac{-1}{4 \pi} \beta_{v F} \\
\frac{-1}{4 \pi} \beta_{h D} & \frac{1}{4 \pi} \beta_{h F}
\end{array}\right)\binom{d k_{D} d s}{d k_{F} d s}
$$

This matrix relates the change in the tune to the change in strength of the quadrupoles.
We can invert this matrix to calculate change in quadrupole field needed for a given change in tune

## Dispersion (1)

$\checkmark$ Until now we have assumed that our beam has no energy or momentum spread:

$$
\frac{\Delta E}{E}=0 \text { and } \frac{\Delta p}{p}=0
$$

$\checkmark$ Different energy or momentum particles have different radii of curvature ( $\rho$ ) in the main dipoles.
$\checkmark$ These particles no longer pass through the quadrupoles at the same radial position.
$\checkmark$ Quadrupoles act as dipoles for different momentum particles.
$\checkmark$ Closed orbits for different momentum particles are different.
$\checkmark$ This horizontal displacement is expressed as the dispersion function $D(s)$
$\checkmark D(s)$ is a function of ' $s$ ' exactly as $\beta(s)$ is a function of ' $s$ '

## Dispersion (2)

$\checkmark$ The displacement due to the change in momentum at any position (s) is given by:

$$
\Delta x(s)=D(s) \cdot \frac{\Delta p}{p}
$$

Local radial displacement due to momentum spread
$\checkmark \underline{D}(s)$ the dispersion function, is calculated from the lattice, and has the unit of meters.
$\checkmark$ The beam will have a finite horizontal size due to it's momentum spread.
$\checkmark$ In the majority of the cases we have no vertical dipoles, and so $D(s)=0$ in the vertical plane.

## Momentum compaction factor

$\checkmark$ The change in orbit with the changing momentum means that the average length of the orbit will also depend on the beam momentum.
$\checkmark$ This is expressed as the momentum compaction factor, $\underline{a}_{\mathrm{p}}$ where:

$$
\frac{\Delta r}{r}=\alpha_{p} \frac{\Delta p}{p}
$$

$\checkmark \underline{a}_{p}$ tells us about the change in the length of radius of the closed orbit for a change in momentum.

## Chromaticity

$\checkmark$ The focusing strength of our quadrupoles depends on the beam momentum, ' $p$ '

$$
k=\frac{d B y}{d x} \times \frac{1}{B \rho} \quad 3.3356(p
$$

$\checkmark$ Therefore a spread in momentum causes a spread in focusing strength

$$
\frac{\Delta k}{k}=-\frac{\Delta p}{p}
$$

$\checkmark$ But $Q$ depends on the ' $k$ ' of the quadrupoles

$$
\frac{\Delta Q}{Q} \alpha \frac{\Delta p}{p} \longrightarrow \frac{\Delta Q}{Q}=\xi \frac{\Delta p}{p}
$$

$\checkmark$ The constant here is called : Chromaticity

## Chromaticity visualized

$\checkmark$ The chromaticity relates the tune spread of the transverse motion with the momentum spread in the beam.
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## Chromaticity calculated


$\checkmark$ This term is the Chromaticity $\xi$
$\checkmark$ To correct this tune spread we need to increase the quadrupole focusing strength for higher momentum particles, and decrease it for lower momentum particles.
$\checkmark$ This we will obtain using a Sextupole magnet

## Sextupole Magnets


$\checkmark$ Conventional Sextupole from LEP, but looks similar for other 'warm' machines.
$\checkmark \sim 1$ meter long and a few hundreds of kg.
$\checkmark$ Correction Sextupole of the LHC
$\checkmark 11 \mathrm{~cm}, 10 \mathrm{~kg}, 500 \mathrm{~A}$ at 2 K for a field of $1630 \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
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## Chromaticity correction


$\checkmark$ Vertical magnetic field versus horizontal displacement in a quadrupole and a sextupole.

## Chromaticity correction (2)

$\checkmark$ The effect of the sextupole field is to increase the magnetic field of the quadrupoles for the positive ' $x$ ' particles and decrease the field for the negative ' $x$ ' particles.
$\checkmark$ However, the dispersion function, $D(s)$, describes how the radial position of the particles change with momentum.
$\checkmark$ Therefore the sextupoles will alter the focusing field seen by the particles as a function of their momentum.
$\checkmark$ This we can use to compensate the natural chromaticity of the machine.

## Sextupole \& Chromaticity

$\checkmark$ In a sextupole for $y=0$ we have a field $B y=C . x^{2}$
$\checkmark$ Now calculate ' $k$ ' the focusing gradient as we did for a quadrupole:

$$
k=\frac{1}{(B \rho)} \frac{d B_{y}}{d x}
$$

$\checkmark$ Using $B_{y}=C x^{2}$ which after differentiating gives $\frac{d B_{y}}{d x}=2 C x$
$\checkmark$ For $k$ we now write $k=\frac{1}{(B \rho)} 2 C x$
$\checkmark$ We conclude that ' $k$ ' is no longer constant, as it depends on ' $x$ '
$\checkmark$ So for a $\Delta x$ we get $\Delta k=\frac{2 C}{(B \rho)} \Delta x$ and we know that $\Delta x=D(s) \frac{\Delta p}{p}$
$\checkmark$ Therefore

$$
\Delta k=2 C \times \frac{D(s)}{(B \rho)} \times \frac{\Delta p}{p}
$$

## Sextupole \& Chromaticity

$\checkmark$ We know that the tune changes with:

$\checkmark$ Where: $d s=$ sextupole length and $d k=\Delta k=2 C \times \frac{D(s)}{(B \rho)} \times \frac{\Delta p}{p}$
$\checkmark$ Remember $B=C \cdot x^{2}$ with $C=\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^{2} B y}{d x^{2}}$
$\checkmark$ The effect of a sextupole with length I on the particle tune $Q$ as a function of $\Delta p / p$ is given by:

$$
\frac{\Delta Q}{Q}=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \ell \beta(s) \frac{d^{2} B y}{d x^{2}} \frac{D(s)}{(B \rho) Q} \frac{\Delta p}{p}
$$

$\checkmark$ If we can make this term exactly balance the natural chromaticity then we will have solved our problem.
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## Sextupole \& Chromaticity (2)

$\checkmark$ There are two chromaticities:
$\checkmark$ horizontal $\rightarrow \xi_{h}$
$\checkmark$ vertical $\rightarrow \xi_{v}$
$\checkmark$ However, the effect of a sextupole depends on $\beta(s)$, which varies around the machine
$\checkmark$ Two types of sextupoles are used to correct the chromaticity.
$\checkmark$ One (SF) is placed near QF quadrupoles where $\beta_{h}$ is large and $\beta_{v}$ is small, this will have a large effect on $\xi_{h}$
$\checkmark$ Another (SD) placed near QD quadrupoles, where $\beta_{v}$ is large and $\beta_{h}$ is small, will correct $\xi_{v}$
$\checkmark$ Also sextupoles should be placed where $D(s)$ is large, in order to increase their effect, since $\Delta k$ is proportional to $D(s)$

## Questions....,Remarks...?



