Towards an update of the European Strategy for Particle Physics









and the second second second second





Open Symposium Towards updating the European Strategy for Particle Physics May 13-16, 2019, Granada, Spain

https://cafpe.ugr.es/eppsu2019/

~600 participants

Information captured in 8 thematic summary talks



Joint session ECFA and EPS-HEPP

"Towards an update of the European Particle Physics Strategy" Agenda, 13 July 2019 – <u>https://indico.cern.ch/event/845382/</u>

- 1) Overview of the ESPP Open Symposium Halina Abramowicz
- 2) Technology path towards future colliders Caterina Biscari
- 3) Community challenges and opportunities for detector R&D Ariella Cattai
- 4) Higgs at Future Colliders Christophe Grojean (new version H@FC WG report at <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/1905.03764</u>)
- 1) Physics Beyond Colliders *Claude Vallee*

ECFA

European Committee for Future Accelera

- 2) Synergies between astroparticle, particle and nuclear physics *Caterina Doglioni*
- 3) Computing and Software challenges Graeme Stewart

ECFA Newsletter #3: <u>https://cds.cern.ch/record/2688156/files/ECFA-Newsletter-3-Summer2019-final.pdf</u>

Physics Briefing Book Physics Preparatory Group

- Overviewing the submitted input and the discussions in Granada
- Excluding references etc. about 200 pages
- The work of many!
- <u>http://cds.cern.ch/record/2691414</u>

Physics Briefing Book



Input for the European Strategy for Particle Physics Update 2020

Electroweak Physics: Richard Keith Ellis¹, Beate Heinemann^{2,3} (*Conveners*) Jorge de Blas^{4,5}, Maria Cepeda⁶, Christophe Groge^{2,7}, Fabio Maltoni^{8,9}, Aleandro Nisati¹⁰, Elisabeth Petit¹¹, Riccardo Rattazzi¹², Wouter Verkerk¹³ (*Convirbuors*)

 Strong Interactions:
 Jorgen D'Hondl¹⁴, Krzysztof Redlich¹⁵ (Conveners) Anton Andronic¹⁶, Ferenc Siklér¹⁷ (Scientific Secretaries)

 Nestor Armestol⁸, Daniël Boerl⁹, David d'Enterria²⁰, Tetyana Galatyuk²¹, Thomas Gehrmann²², Klaus Kirch²³, Uta Klein²⁴, Jean-Philippe Lansberg²⁵, Gavin P. Salam²⁶, Gunar Schnell²⁷, Johanna Stachel²⁸, Tanguy Pierog²⁹, Hartmut Wittig³⁰, Urs Wiedemann²⁰(Contributors)

Flavour Physics: Belen Gavela³¹, Antonio Zoccoli³² (Conveners) Sandra Malvezzi³³, Ana Teixeira³⁴, Jure Zupan³⁵ (Scientific Secretarics) Daniel Aloni³⁶, Augusto Ceccucci²⁰, Avital Dery³⁶, Michael Dine³⁷, Svetlana Fajfer³⁸, Stefania Gori³⁷, Gudrun Hille³⁹, Gino Isidor²², Yoshikata Kuno⁴⁰, Alberto Lusian⁴¹, Yosef Nir³⁶, Marie-Helene Schune⁴², Marco Sozzi⁴³, Stephan Paul⁴⁴, Carlos Pena³¹ (Contributors)

Neutrino Physics & Cosmic Messengers: Stan Bentvelsen⁴⁵, Marco Zitu^{46,47} (Conveners) Albert De Rocek.²⁰ (Thomas Schwetz²⁹ (Scientific Secretaries) Bonnie Fleming⁴⁵, Francis Halzen⁴⁹, Andreas Haungs²⁰, March Kowalski², Susame Mertens⁴⁴, Mauro Mezzetto⁵, Silvia Pascoli⁵⁰, Bangalore Sathyaprakash⁵¹, Nicod Serra²² (Contributors)

Beyond the Standard Model: Gian E. Giudice²⁰, Paris Sphinas^{20,52} (*Conveners*) Juan Alcaraz Maestre⁶, Caterina Doglion³⁵, Gial Lanfranchi^{20,54}, Monica D'Onofrio⁷⁴, Mathew McCullough²⁰, Gilad Perez³⁶, Philipp Roloff²⁰, Veronica Sanz⁵⁵, Andreas Weiler⁴⁴, Andrea Wulzer^{4,12,20} (*Contributors*)

Dark Matter and Dark Sector: Shoji Asai⁵⁶, Marcela Carena⁵⁷ (Conveners) Babette Döbrich²⁰, Caterina Doglioni⁵³, Joerg Jackel²⁸, Gordan Krnjaic³⁷, Jocelyn Monroe⁵⁸, Konstantinos Petridis⁵⁹, Christoph Wenige⁶⁰ (Scientific Secretaries)

Accelerator Science and Technology: Caterina Biscan⁶¹, Leonid Rivkin⁶² (Conveners) Philip Burrows²⁶, Frank Zimmermann²⁰ (Scientific Secretaries) Michael Benedikt²⁰, Edda Gschwendtner²⁰, Erk Jensen²⁰, Mike Lamont²⁰, Wim Leemans², Lucio Rossi²⁰, Daniel Schulte²⁰, Mike Scidel⁶², Vladimir Shiltsev⁶³, Steinar Stapnes²⁰, Akira Yamamoto^{20,64} (Contributors)

Instrumentation and Computing: Xinchou Lou⁶⁵, Brigitte Vachon⁶⁶ (Conveners) Roger Jones⁶⁷, Emilia Leogrande²⁰ (Scientific Secretarices) Ian Bird²⁰, Amber Boehnlein⁶⁸, Simone Campana²⁰, Ariella Cattai²⁰, Didjer Contardo⁶⁹, Cinzia Da Via⁷⁰, Francesco Forti⁷¹, Maria Girone²⁰, Matthias Kasemann², Weidon Li⁶⁵, Lucie Linssen³⁰, Felix SerKov², Graeme Stewart²⁰(Contributors)

Editors: Halina Abramowicz⁷², Roger Forty²⁰, and the Conveners



Open Plenary ECFA session

"Advanced Accelerator Technologies" at CERN, Council Chamber, 14 November 2019 <u>https://indico.cern.ch/event/847002/overview</u>

- 1) Towards colliders using plasma wakefields (2 hours)
- 2) Towards a muon collider (2 hours)
- 3) Towards using accelerator HTS magnets in HEP colliders (2 hours)

Will be webcasted and will appear in the ECFA Newsletter #4 (more on ECFA Newsletters at <u>https://ecfa.web.cern.ch/content/ecfa-newsletters</u>)



Presentation with a view to update the Strategy

- 1) The Physics Briefing Book is our key document
- 2) A meta-level sketch of the landscape beyond Granada
- 3) Scenarios with colliders in Europe to update the Strategy

Presentation with a view to update the Strategy

- 1) The Physics Briefing Book is our key document
- 2) A meta-level sketch of the landscape beyond Granada
- 3) Scenarios with colliders in Europe to update the Strategy

<u>Remarks</u>:

Not the solutions, but identifying options & challenges Not the final view, but an initial strawman view

Some key questions listed

Presentation with a view to update the Strategy

- 1) The Physics Briefing Book is our key document
- 2) A meta-level sketch of the landscape beyond Granada
- 3) Scenarios with colliders in Europe to update the Strategy



Not the solutions, but identifying options & challenges Not the final view, but an initial strawman view

Some key questions listed

Accelerator technology at Granada Not written in stone, but on the collider front we might identify three eras

the *immediate future* (2020-2040)
e.g. the HL-LHC era
the *mid-term future* (2040-2060)
e.g. the Z/W/H/top-factory era
the *long-term future* (2060-2080)
e.g. the energy frontier era

	2020-2040 HL-LHC era	2040-2060 Z/W/H/top-factory era	2060-2080 energy frontier era
our technology	SCRF ~ 30 MV/m B ~ 11 T	SCRF ~ 50 MV/m B ~ 14 T plasma demo muon demo	SCRF ~ 70 MV/m B > 16 T (HTS?) plasma collider muon collider
other technology	AI for new physics quasi-online analysis digital imaging new transistors	quantum computing self-learning simulation	•••
societal threats	eco friendly gases careers at mega- research facilities	energy consumption long-term engagement global vs sustained collaboration	human vs machine

Accelerator technology at Granada Not written in stone, but on the collider front we might identify three eras

the *immediate future* (2020-2040)
e.g. the HL-LHC era
the *mid-term future* (2040-2060)
e.g. the Z/W/H/top-factory era
the *long-term future* (2060-2080)
e.g. the energy frontier era

From the point of view of major colliders and with a view on our discussions for updating the strategy, are these three eras adequate?

The Granada physics themes



There is "new physics" out there! and it should be our main objective to discover it in an effort to understand fundamental interactions



The exploration of the scalar sector with colliders is only one avenue to search for new physics

Not written in stone, but several avenues towards the discovery of new physics

- \circ indirect exploration at the precision frontier
- o breaking the Standard Model
- \circ direct searches of hidden & visible sectors

0 ...

Not written in stone, but several avenues towards the discovery of new physics

- \circ indirect exploration at the precision frontier
- breaking the Standard Model
- o direct searches of hidden & visible sectors

0 ...

2020-2040		
HL-LHC era		

2040-2060 Z/W/H/top-factory era energy frontier era

2060-2080

precision frontier	H couplings to few % v mass/mixing/nature QGP phase-transition b/c-physics	H couplings to % EW & QCD & top QGP vs Lattice QCD b/c/τ-physics	H couplings to ‰ H self-coupling to % proton structure di-boson processes
breaking the SM	next-gen K-beams proton precision e & n EDM lepton flavor (µ→e)	p EDM storage rings	rare top decays small-x physics
direct searches	Beam Dump Facility eSPS (light DM) Long-Lived Signals / ALPs DM vs neutrino floor	heavy neutral lepton	new high-mass part. next-gen hidden exp. low-mass DM

One can debate, but with a granularity of 20 years and in the absence of clear indications for new physics, the following general principle is probably wise:

in each era you would want to take important steps forward for the largest variety of directions where new physics can be found

Is a broad exploration an adequate approach for our global field?

Do we want to move forward in the largest variety of directions?

One can debate, but with a granularity of 20 years and in the absence of clear indications for new physics, the following general principle is probably wise:

in each era you would want to take important steps forward for the largest variety of directions where new physics can be found

With the input from the Physics Briefing Book, and with a view of updating the current strategy, the next step is to define some overall long-term scenarios and discuss their coverage, feasibility and community support

Scenarios with a view to update the Strategy



start from the current Strategy

https://cds.cern.ch/record/1567258/files/esc-e-106.pdf - with the highest priority

- ① Europe's top priority should be the exploitation of the full potential of the LHC, including the highluminosity upgrade of the machine and detectors with a view to collecting ten times more data than in the initial design, by around 2030. This upgrade programme will also provide further exciting opportunities for the study of flavour physics and the quark-gluon plasma.
- 2 CERN should undertake design studies for accelerator projects in a global context, with emphasis on proton-proton and electron-positron high-energy frontier machines. These design studies should be coupled to a vigorous accelerator R&D programme, including high-field magnets and high-gradient accelerating structures, in collaboration with national institutes, laboratories and universities worldwide.
- ③ Europe looks forward to a [ILC] proposal from Japan to discuss a possible participation.
- (4) CERN should develop a neutrino programme to pave the way for a substantial European role in future long-baseline experiments. Europe should explore the possibility of major participation in leading long-baseline neutrino projects in the US and Japan.

https://cds.cern.ch/record/1567258/files/esc-e-106.pdf - with the highest priority

- ① Europe's top priority should be the exploitation of the full potential of the LHC, including the highluminosity upgrade of the machine and detectors with a view to collecting ten times more data than in the initial design, by around 2030. This upgrade programme will also provide further exciting opportunities for the study of flavour physics and the guark-gluon plasma.
- 2 CERN should undertake design studies for acceleration on proton-proton and electron-position proton proton proton proton proton and electron-position proton proton proton and electron-position proton proton proton proton and electron-position proton proton proton proton and electron-position proton proton
- ③ Europe looks forward to a [ILC] proposal from Japan to discuss a possible participation.
- (4) CERN should develop a neutrino programme to pave the way for a substantial European role in future long-baseline experiments. Europe should explore the possibility of major participation in leading long-baseline neutrino projects in the US and Japan.

Other scientific activities essential to the particle physics programme

- ① Europe should support a diverse, vibrant theoretical physics programme, ranging from abstract to applied topics, in close collaboration with experiments and extending to neighbouring fields such as astroparticle physics and cosmology. Such support should extend also to high-performance computing and software development.
- 2 Experiments in Europe with unique reach should be supported, as well as participation in experiments in other regions of the world. Examples: quark flavour physics, dipole moments, charged-lepton flavour violation, etc.
- ③ Detector R&D programmes should be supported strongly at CERN, national institutes, laboratories and universities. Infrastructure and engineering capabilities for the R&D programme and construction of large detectors, as well as infrastructures for data analysis, data preservation and distributed data-intensive computing should be maintained and further developed.
- (4) In the coming years, CERN should seek a closer collaboration with ApPEC on detector R&D with a view to maintaining the community's capability for unique projects in this field.
- 5 The CERN Laboratory should maintain its capability to perform unique experiments. CERN should continue to work with NuPECC on topics of mutual interest.

Other scientific activities essential to the particle physics programme

- (1)Europe should support a diverse, vibrant theoretical physics programme, ranging from abstract to applied topics, in close collaboration with experiments and extending to neighbouring fields such as astroparticle physics and cosmology. Such support should extend also to highperformance computing and software development.
- experiments in Europe with unique reach should be experiments in other regions of the world charged-lepton flavour violatic Detector R&D prog laboratories and units of the contract of the strongly at CERN, national institutes, aucture and engineering canabilities for the strong of (2)
- 3 acture and engineering capabilities for the R&D programme and construction of log- detectors, as well as infrastructures for data analysis, data preservation and distributed data-intensive computing should be maintained and further developed.
- (4) In the coming years, CERN should seek a closer collaboration with ApPEC on detector R&D with a view to maintaining the community's capability for unique projects in this field.
- (5) The CERN Laboratory should maintain its capability to perform unique experiments. CERN should continue to work with NuPECC on topics of mutual interest.

1st priority

LHC and HL-LHC





Potential HL-LHC performance in Higgs couplings anno 2013 versus anno 2019



Taking into account innovative thoughts and research experience, what was optimistic in 2013 seems realistic in 2019.



Potential HL-LHC performance in Higgs couplings anno 2013 versus anno 2019



Towards an update of the strategy

Europe's top priority should be the exploitation of the full potential of the LHC, including the highluminosity upgrade of the machine and detectors with a view to collecting ten times more data than in the initial design, by around 2030. This upgrade programme will also provide further exciting opportunities for the study of flavour physics and the quark-gluon plasma.

Should we also support the fixed-target projects at (HL-)LHC?

Should we consider statements to strengthen the LHC and HL-LHC program ?

Should we stimulate the creation of concerted programs at CERN and/or in Europe, e.g. AI@LHC for both data analysis and to steer instruments, others ?

Because of the competition for the Interaction Region at Point-2@LHC, should we consider a choice between ALICE beyond LS4 and the LHeC ?

Because of the competition for the Interaction Region at Point-2@LHC, should we consider a choice between ALICE beyond LS4 and the LHeC?

This is a very important choice with potentially a major impact. Two very strong communities in Europe.

Both options are at the proposal stage.

<u>Strategy input document</u> (Id110) "A next-generation LHC heavy-ion experiment"

Emerging from the current ALICE collaboration

Strategy input document (Id159) "Exploring the Energy Frontier with Deep Inelastic Scattering at the LHC" (i.e. LHeC and PERLE) after peer review now in print J.Phys.G

Following a call from the CERN-DG CDRs: arXiv:1206.2913 and arXiv:1705.08783

Workshop on LHeC/PERLE/FCCeh 24-25 Oct https://indico.cern.ch/event/835947/

Because of the competition for the Interaction Region at Point-2@LHC, should we consider a choice between ALICE beyond LS4 and the LHeC?

This is a very important choice with potentially a major impact. Two very strong communities in Europe.

Both options are at the proposal stage.

Strategy input document (Id110) "A next-generation LHC heavy-ion experiment"

Emerging from the current ALICE collaboration



Workshop on LHeC/PERLE/FCCeh 24-25 Oct https://indico.cern.ch/event/835947/

3rd priority

ILC at Japan



Towards an update of the strategy

Europe looks forward to a [ILC] proposal from Japan to discuss a possible participation.

ICFA meeting, Tokyo, 6-8 March 2019

- We were informed about the position of MEXT on the ILC project. We heard as well as a speech from Hon. Kawamura from the Federation of Diet Members for the ILC. <u>https://www.kek.jp/en/newsroom/2019/03/13/2100/</u>
- In response, the ICFA statement: <u>https://icfa.fnal.gov/wp-</u> content/uploads/ICFA Tokyo Statement March2019.pdf
- The letter from the Linear Collider Board (LCB):
 https://icfa.fnal.gov/wp-content/uploads/LCB letter to MEXT-signed.pdf



"MEXT has not yet reached declaration for hosting the ILC in Japan at this moment"

"MEXT will pay close attention to the progress of the discussions at the European Strategy for Particle Physics Update"

"MEXT will continue to discuss the ILC project with other governments while having an interest in the ILC project"

Towards an update of the strategy

Europe looks forward to a [ILC] proposal from Japan to discuss a possible participation.

Should we extend the scope to EIC@US, CEPC@China, ... ?

Should such a statement remain on the front page?

With a view on this strategy update, do we remain open towards strong participation in future collider programs outside Europe ?

4th priority

Neutrino Platform



Towards an update of the strategy

CERN should develop a neutrino programme to pave the way for a substantial European role in future long-baseline experiments. Europe should explore the possibility of major participation in leading longbaseline neutrino projects in the US and Japan.

Since 2014 the CERN Neutrino Platform fosters the collaboration of ~90 European institutions in detector R&D and construction. e.g. DUNE@LBNF (US) and ND280@T2K (Japan)

Upgrades are considered in due time for these long-baseline neutrino projects. e.g. doubling the beam power at DUNE (from 1.2MW to 2.4 MW)

Is the continuation of the CERN Neutrino Platform appropriate ?

Should we propose to extend the scope of the NP beyond long-baseline ν projects ?

Other scientific activities essential to the particle physics programme

- (1)Europe should support a diverse, vibrant theoretical physics programme, ranging from abstract to applied topics, in close collaboration with experiments and extending to neighbouring fields such as astroparticle physics and cosmology. Such support should extend also to highperformance computing and software development.
- experiments in Europe with unique reach should be experiments in other regions of the world charged-lepton flavour violatic Detector R&D prog laboratories and units of the contract of the strongly at CERN, national institutes, aucture and engineering canabilities for the strong of (2)
- 3 acture and engineering capabilities for the R&D programme and construction of log- detectors, as well as infrastructures for data analysis, data preservation and distributed data-intensive computing should be maintained and further developed.
- (4) In the coming years, CERN should seek a closer collaboration with ApPEC on detector R&D with a view to maintaining the community's capability for unique projects in this field.
- (5) The CERN Laboratory should maintain its capability to perform unique experiments. CERN should continue to work with NuPECC on topics of mutual interest.

Should we strengthen this statement?

- ① Europe should support a diverse, vibrant theoretical physics programme, ranging from abstract to applied topics, in close collaboration with experiments and extending to neighbouring fields such as astroparticle physics and cosmology. Such support should extend also to high-performance computing and software development.
- 2 Expension Should we provide guidance how to achieve this? ion in experiments in other regions of the world. Examples: quark flavour physics, dipole moments, charged-lepton flavour violation, etc.
- ③ Detector R&D programmes should be supported strongly at CERN, national institutes, laboratories and universities. Infrastructure and engineering capabilities for the R&D programme and construction of large detectors, as well as infrastructures for data analysis, data preservation and distributed data-intensive computing should be maintained and further developed.
- (4) In the coming years, CERN should seek a closer collaboration with ApPEC on detector R&D with a view to maintaining the community's capability for unique projects in this field.
- 5 The CERN Laboratory should maintain its capability to perform unique experiments. CERN should continue to work with NuPECC on topics of mutual interest.

Other scientific activities essential to the particle physics programme

- ① Europe should support a diverse, vibrant theoretical physics programme, ranging from abstract to applied topics, in close collaboration with experiments and extending to neighbouring fields such as astroparticle physics and cosmology. Such support should extend also to highperformance computing and software development.
- 2 Experiments in Europe with unique reach should be supported, as well as participation in experiments in other regions of the world. Examples: quark flavour physics, dipole moments, charged-lepton flavour violation, etc.
- Would it be adequate to move the diversity program to the front page? Aboratories and universities. Infrastructure and engineering capabilities for the K&D programme and construction of large detectors, as well as infrastructures for data analysis, data preservation and distributed data-intensive computing should be maintained and further developed.
- (4) In the coming years, CERN should seek a closer collaboration with ApPEC on detector R&D with a view to maintaining the community's capability for unique projects in this field.
- 5 The CERN Laboratory should maintain its capability to perform unique experiments. CERN should continue to work with NuPECC on topics of mutual interest.

Scientific Diversity Program (both at CERN and elsewhere in Europe)

Listed below those facilities/experiments in Europe in the realm of particle physics

- Beam Dump Facility (SHiP, TauFV)
- \circ eSPS (LDMX)
- COMPASS/AMBER as QCD facility, MUonE, KLEVER, nuSTORM, MATHUSLA, FASER, CODEX-b, milliQan, LHCSpin, REDTOP, DIRAC, ...
 CPEDM@Julich, ESSvSB@ESS, PERLE@Saclay, LFV@PSI, ...

The timeline overview by Jochen Schieck and the cost overview by Joachim Mnich, both presented during the 3rd ESG meeting, 21 June 2019.

Should the strategy rank proposals according to priority ?

Which are the key proposals ?

Other scientific activities essential to the particle physics programme

- ① Europe should support a diverse, vibrant theoretical physics programme, ranging from abstract to applied topics, in close collaboration with experiments and extending to neighbouring fields such as astroparticle physics and cosmology. Such support should extend also to highperformance computing and software development.
- ② Experiments in Europe with unique reach should be supported, as well as participation in experiments in other size of the useful Europe with flower should be supported. Should we strengthen this statement?
- ③ Detector R&D programmes should be supported strongly at CERN, national institutes, laboratories and universities. Infrastructure and engineering capabilities for the R&D programme and construction of large detectors, as well as infrastructures for data analysis, data preservation and distributed data-intensive computing should be maintained and further developed.
- A In Should we provide guidance how to achieve this?
 b e.g. new R&D cluster programs at CERN and in Europe
 Th e.g. balance blue sky research versus focused developments
 ts. CERN should to continue to work with NuPECC on topics of mutual interest.

Other scientific activities essential to the particle physics programme

- ① Europe should support a diverse, vibrant theoretical physics programme, ranging from abstract to applied topics, in close collaboration with experiments and extending to neighbouring fields such as astroparticle physics and cosmology. Such support should extend also to highperformance computing and software development.
- 2 Experiments in Europe with unique reach should be supported, as well as participation in experiments in other reach should be SG WG3 mandate reach as well as participation in charged-lepton flavour Part of the ESG WG3 mandate reach as well as participation in the support of the the test of test

3

Should we strengthen our collaboration with the astroparticle and nuclear physics communities? Should we provide guidance how to achieve this? Example, should we make concrete the technology collaboration with GW?

mme

ation

- (4) In the coming years, CERN should seek a closer collaboration with ApPEC on detector R&D with a view to maintaining the community's capability for unique projects in this field.
- 5 The CERN Laboratory should maintain its capability to perform unique experiments. CERN should continue to work with NuPECC on topics of mutual interest.

2nd priority

Future colliders at CERN Accelerator R&D



Towards an update of the strategy

CERN should undertake design studies for accelerator projects in a global context, with emphasis on proton-proton and electron-positron high-energy frontier machines. These design studies should be coupled to a vigorous accelerator R&D programme, including high-field magnets and high-gradient accelerating structures, in collaboration with national institutes, laboratories and universities worldwide.



Towards an update of the strategy

CERN should undertake design studies for accelerator projects in a global context, with emphasis on proton-proton and electron-positron high-energy frontier machines. These design studies should be coupled to a vigorous accelerator R&D programme, including high-field magnets and high-gradient accelerating structures, in collaboration with national institutes, laboratories and universities worldwide.

CLIC FCC LHeC (1.7GCHF)

Physics opportunities and major technology challenges in the PBB.

Should the HE-LHC feature in our strategy update ?

Concrete collider options studied at CERN

LHeC (ep), <u>http://lhec.web.cern.ch</u> J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 39 (2012) 075001 [arXiv:1206.2913]



LHeC (60 GeV e- from ERL) $E_{cms} = 0.2 - 1.3 \text{ TeV}$ run with the HL-LHC (\gtrsim Run5)

Energy Recovery Linac (ERL) *R&D demonstrator at Orsay, PERLE*



Concrete collider options studied at CERN CLIC (ee), <u>http://clic-study.web.cern.ch/</u>





Concrete collider options studied at CERN FCC (ee, ep, pp, pA, AA, eA), <u>https://fcc-cdr.web.cern.ch/</u>



- e⁺e⁻ collider (FCC-ee) @ 90-365 GeV as potential first step (ERL-technology, CLIC injector, ...)
- pp-collider (FCC-hh) @ 100 TeV
- *p*-e collider (FCC-he)
- **HE-LHC** with *FCC-hh* magnets
- μμ colider (FCC-μμ) option
- AA, Ap, Ae options







Daniel Schulte @ Granadg₂

Some key elements one can consider in an overall ambition

- to deliver to the research community a compelling scientific program which includes the global aspiration for a Higgs factory but in general exploring new territories in the search for new physics at the precision, the intensity and the high-energy frontiers
- because a new collider is essential to make progress, to have a new major collider facility operational at CERN as soon as possible after the HL-LHC program
- allow for options for the long-term future
- to support major <u>accelerator R&D</u> to prepare for the 1st and 2nd generation colliders, i.e. the 2040-2060 and 2060-2080 eras
- to support a <u>scientific diversity program</u> to complement the physics reach achievable with colliders

Embrace these thoughts into "scenarios" with future colliders in Europe.

With a strawman view to update the current strategy and to prepare the discussion within the European Strategy Group (ESG), "scenarios" can be defined revolving around future colliders at CERN.

- \circ Each scenario has a 1st generation collider in the 2040-2060 era and options for the 2nd generation collider in the 2060-2080 era.
- Some scenarios might depend on decisions made outside of Europe, i.e. to be verified on the occasion of the next European Strategy update, typically within 7 years (around the start of the HL-LHC).
- For the 2nd generation colliders, advanced accelerator technologies might come in (e.g. plasma, muon, HTS magnets), depending on the performance of the advanced technologies in for example demonstrator facilities.
- Accordingly each scenario has a moment in time to verify the readiness of the advanced accelerator technologies, i.e. at the moment when concrete decisions are to be made about the 2nd generation collider.

A landscape for colliders in Europe

	2020-2040	0	2040-2060	2060-2080
			1st gen technology	2nd gen technology
CLIC-all	HL-LHC		CLIC380-1500	CLIC3000 / other tech
CLIC-FCC	HL-LHC		CLIC380	FCC-h/e/A (Adv HF magnets) / other tech
FCC-all	HL-LHC		FCC-ee (90-365)	FCC-h/e/A (Adv HF magnets) / other tech
LE-to-HE-FCC-h/e/A	HL-LHC		LE-FCC-h/e/A (low-field magnets)	FCC-h/e/A (Adv HF magnets) / other tech
LHeC-FCC-h/e/A	HL-LHC +	LHeC	LHeC	FCC-h/e/A (Adv HF magnets) / other tech

 $\circ~$ All elements related to the CLIC, FCC and LHeC proposals are discussed in their CDRs.

- The LE-to-HE-FCC-hh(e/A) scenario with the hadron collider version of the FCC moves from initially lower-field magnets to higher-field magnets, potentially HTS magnets.
- The LHeC+FCC-h/e/A scenario includes the LHeC and foresees FCC-h/e/A at a later stage directly with high-field magnets.

A landscape for colliders in Europe

	2020-2040	2040-2060	2060-2080
		1st gen technology	2nd gen technology
CLIC-all	HL-LHC	CLIC380-1500	CLIC3000 / other tech
CLIC-FCC	HL-LHC	CLIC380	FCC-h/e/A (Adv HF magnets) / other tech
FCC-all	HL-LHC	FCC-ee (90-365)	FCC-h/e/A (Adv HF magnets) / other tech
LE-to-HE-FCC-h/e/A	HL-LHC	LE-FCC-h/e/A (low-field magnets)	FCC-h/e/A (Adv HF magnets) / other tech
LHeC-FCC-h/e/A	HL-LHC + LH	eC LHeC	FCC-h/e/A (Adv HF magnets) / other tech

- Need to provide guidance in this strategy update for the technology for the 1st generation collider at CERN, leaving open options to deploy other technologies for the 2nd generation.
- Accordingly, around 2045 the community will have to consider which technologies are available for high-energy and high-luminosity colliders in the 2060-2080 era.
- While planning for success, the chosen scenario will have to be verified at the time of the next strategy update, taking into account the global context (e.g. ILC, CEPC, EIC, etc).

Typical path: select a scenario and plan for success

Operational collider 1st gen (2040's)

time

Strategy update 2020 CDRs





Main expectations of the next Strategy update (in about 7 years)

- $\circ~$ Receive the TDR for 1^{st} generation of the scenario for final approval
- Decide to concretely engage in the 1st generation of the scenario, or to adapt according to the global context
- Decide on the strategy for further development of high-field magnets
- $\circ~$ Decide on the basis of CDRs to construct a muon and/or plasma-based collider demonstration facility

Typical path: select a scenario and plan for success

Goals to reach by the time of the next Strategy update (within ~7 years)

- Concrete technical and administrative plans for the civil engineering for the 1st generation scenario, including cost optimization studies
- Concrete financial organization plan for civil engineering, accelerator and experiments for 1st generation scenario, including cost optimization studies
- In the context of the particular scenario, set up proto-collaborations for experiments to propose initial detector designs
- Verify the technical feasibility and cost optimization for alternative scenarios
- CDRs for demonstration collider facilities for a muon collider and a plasmabased collider



- Strong statement to investigate the full program of the scenario, including technical and administrative plans, and commission a TDR for the 1st generation of the scenario
- Commission CDRs for demonstration facilities for a muon collider and a plasma-based collider, and support statements for the development of highfield magnets
- Openness towards opportunities for a major collider outside Europe

Nothing is written in stone at this stage for new colliders in Europe, the European Strategy Group will discuss at least these strawman scenarios with a focus on the 1st generation collider

	2020-2040	2040-2060	2060-2080
		1st gen technology	2nd gen technology
CLIC-all	HL-LHC	CLIC380-1500	CLIC3000 / other tech
CLIC-FCC	HL-LHC	CLIC380	FCC-h/e/A (Adv HF magnets) / other tech
FCC-all	HL-LHC	FCC-ee (90-365)	FCC-h/e/A (Adv HF magnets) / other tech
LE-to-HE-FCC-h/e/A	HL-LHC	LE-FCC-h/e/A (low-field magnets)	FCC-h/e/A (Adv HF magnets) / other tech
LHeC-FCC-h/e/A	HL-LHC + LHe	LHeC	FCC-h/e/A (Adv HF magnets) / other tech

The CLIC-all scenario The FCC-all scenario The CLIC-FCC-mixed scenario The LE-FCC+HE-FCC scenario The LHeC + FCC-h/e/A scenario

Compare pro&cons of the physics program of these scenarios

Compare the feasibility of these scenarios

Compare community support for these scenarios

Did we list adequate and sufficient elements to be considered in this and the next strategy update ? Nothing is written in stone at this stage for new colliders in Europe, the European Strategy Group will discuss at least these strawman scenarios with a focus on the 1st generation collider

	2020-2040	2040-2060	2060-2080
		1st gen technology	2nd gen technology
CLIC-all	HL-LHC	CLIC380-1500	CLIC3000 / other tech
CLIC-FCC	HL-LHC	CLIC380	FCC-h/e/A (Adv HF magnets) / other tech
FCC-all	HL-LHC	FCC-ee (90-365)	FCC-h/e/A (Adv HF magnets) / other tech
LE-to-HE-FCC-h/e/A	HL-LHC	LE-FCC-h/e/A (low-field magnets)	FCC-h/e/A (Adv HF magnets) / other tech
LHeC-FCC-h/e/A	HL-LHC + LHeC	LHeC	FCC-h/e/A (Adv HF magnets) / other tech

Thank you for your attention!