# **Future Collider Projects**

Jorgen D'Hondt Vrije Universiteit Brussel





ICHEP 2020 | PRAGUE

### The quest for understanding particle physics



Wonderful description of fundamental interactions e.g. The Standard Models of Particle Physics and Cosmology together do not describe all our observations of the universe.

"Problems and Mysteries" Ri

[Riccardo Rattazzi]

e.g. Abundance of dark matter? Abundance of matter over antimatter? Scale of things (EW hierarchy problem / strong CP problem)? Pattern of fermion masses and mixings? Dynamics of EW symmetry breaking?...

### The quest for understanding particle physics



Wonderful description of fundamental interactions e.g. The Standard Models of Particle Physics and Cosmology together do not describe all our observations of the universe.

**Problems and Mysteries**" [Riccardo Rattazzi]

e.g. Abundance of dark matter? Abundance of matter over antimatter? Scale of things (EW hierarchy problem / strong CP problem)? Pattern of fermion masses and mixings? Dynamics of EW symmetry breaking?...

Important research in ph & th relates these to a portfolio of concrete observable phenomena at colliders and elsewhere In many cases synergies emerge between astro(particle), cosmology, nuclear and particle physics

### The quest for understanding particle physics



Wonderful description of fundamental interactions e.g. The Standard Models of Particle Physics and Cosmology together do not describe all our observations of the universe.

"Problems and Mysteries" Rid

[Riccardo Rattazzi]

e.g. Abundance of dark matter? Abundance of matter over antimatter? Scale of things (EW hierarchy problem / strong CP problem)? Pattern of fermion masses and mixings? Dynamics of EW symmetry breaking?...

Observations of new physics phenomena are expected to unlock concrete ways to address these puzzling unknowns

#### Three frontiers on the collider route to BSM



Extending these collider frontiers remains our prime route to those BSM phenomena related to the most important open questions

### Three frontiers on the collider route to BSM



Extending these collider frontiers remains our prime route to unlock those BSM phenomena related to the most important open questions



Note: SuperKEKB takes over the luminosity record from the LHC



Note: SuperKEKB takes over the luminosity record from the LHC









- Constraining the parameters of the unitary CKM matrix (not predicted by the SM) will provide an extremely precise test of the paradigm, and through loop corrections a powerful sensitivity to BSM physics (figure from LHCb only)
- Expected improvement from LHC and Belle II (table)

|            | λ     | $\bar{ ho}$ | $ar\eta$ | Α    | $\sin 2\beta$ | γ    | α    | $\beta_s$ |
|------------|-------|-------------|----------|------|---------------|------|------|-----------|
| Current    | 0.12% | 9%          | 3%       | 1.5% | 4.5%          | 3%   | 2.5% | 3%        |
| short-term | 0.12% | 2%          | 0.8%     | 0.6% | 0.9%          | 0.9% | 0.7% | 0.8%      |
| mid-term   | 0.12% | 1%          | 0.6%     | 0.5% | 0.6%          | 0.8% | 0.4% | 0.5%      |

[arXiv:1812.07638v2]

- In general, not limited by experimental or theoretical systematic uncertainties
- Sensitivity to BSM up to  $10^3$ - $10^6$  TeV assuming O(1) coupling strength, depending on flavour
- Addressing significantly the flavour puzzle question



- The Higgs couplings are expected to improve significantly with the HL-LHC data
- The estimate made in 2013 for κ<sub>t</sub> was a precision
   of 7-10% with 3000fb<sup>-1</sup>, while now a value better than 4% seems reachable (for the same integrated luminosity)
- With only 6 years of experimental and theoretical innovations a factor of 2 improvement, and yet 20 years to go into the research program
- Recent innovations in instrumentation, software, computing, analysis and theoretical reasoning unlocked several new avenues for research that were previously thought unreachable...

The HL-LHC is an outstanding platform for innovations!

### Empowering the HL-LHC program with the LHeC



14

## Electron-Ion Collider (EIC)

World's 1<sup>st</sup> polarized e-p/light-ion & 1<sup>st</sup> eA collider User Group >1000 members: <u>http://eicug.org</u>

The EIC can address three key questions.

- $\circ$  How does the mass of the nucleon arise?
- $\circ$  How does the spin of the nucleon arise?

 $\circ\,$  What are the emergent properties of a dense system of gluons?

Towards a 3D partonic image of the proton (spin-dependent transverse momentum distributions)





#### e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> Higgs Factories

P. Burrows, M. Weber, P. Roloff, ...



J. List, M. Peskin, D. Jeans, G. Wilson, T. Núñez, ...

D. d'Enterria, A. Blondel, P. Janot, ...



J. Gao, M. Pandurovic, ...

e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> Higgs Factories







- top quark physics
- beam polarization for EW precision tests

(transverse polarization in circular  $e^+e^-$  colliders only at lower  $E_{cm}$  while longitudinal polarization at linear colliders)





| B/c/τ/ | EW Fa | octories |
|--------|-------|----------|
|--------|-------|----------|

| per detector in e⁺e⁻ | # Z                    | # B                    | #τ                   | # charm              | # WW                  |
|----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| LEP                  | 4 x 10 <sup>6</sup>    | 1 x 10 <sup>6</sup>    | 3 x 10⁵              | 1 x 10 <sup>6</sup>  | 2 x 10 <sup>4</sup>   |
| SuperKEKB            | -                      | 1011                   | 1011                 | 1011                 | -                     |
| FCC-ee               | 2.5 x 10 <sup>12</sup> | 7.5 x 10 <sup>11</sup> | 2 x 10 <sup>11</sup> | 6 x 10 <sup>11</sup> | 1.5 x 10 <sup>8</sup> |

#### Higgs Factories with complementarity

- g<sub>HZZ</sub> (250GeV) versus g<sub>HWW</sub> (380GeV)
- top quark physics
- beam polarization for EW precision tests

(transverse polarization in circular  $e^+e^-$  colliders only at lower  $E_{cm}$  while longitudinal polarization at linear colliders)

## Energy frontier colliders – Hadron Colliders

Direct BSM searches at the highest energies e.g. addressing the naturalness puzzle





## Towards an international muon collider design study

- Suppressed synchrotron radiation wrt electrons
- Luminosity can increase linearly with energy

benefits

- For the production of heavy particle pairs 14 TeV lepton
- collisions are comparable to 100 TeV proton collisions

international collaboration being formed towards a design study for a 3 TeV and >10 TeV muon collider

(incl. exploring synergies with Higgs Factories & neutrino experiments)

#### muon collider

D. Schulte L. Sestini (Higgs), ...

main challenge: muon lifetime at rest only 2.2 μs

http://muoncollider.web.cern.ch



### Principle collider avenues to seek new phenomena

Open questions relate to several physics phenomena that can be captures in 6 principle categories

(surely other sets could be used as well)



## Searching for dark matter with colliders

The assumption of Thermal Equilibrium in the early Universe narrows the viable mass range



25

## Searching for dark matter with colliders

Thermal WIMPs: simplified DM models with one DM particle and one mediator





## Searching for dark matter with colliders

Thermal WIMPs: simplified DM models with one DM particle and one mediator



Complementarity: lepton and proton colliders Maximal overlap with direct & indirect detection sensitivity: cosmological origin of DM versus nature of DM interactions

### Addressing the naturalness puzzle with supersymmetry



European Strategy for Particle Physics in Granada 28

Sensitivity for deviations in effective Higgs couplings (from a global EFT fit – dim-6 SM Effective Field Theory)



Results of the SMEFT fit projected in effective couplings:

$$g_{HX}^{\text{eff 2}} \equiv \frac{\Gamma_{H \to X}}{\Gamma_{H \to X}^{\text{SM}}}$$



Physics themes of the Open Symposium of the European Strategy for Particle Physics in Granada

Sensitivity for deviations in effective Higgs couplings (from a global EFT fit – dim-6 SM Effective Field Theory)



Results of the SMEFT fit projected in effective couplings:

$$g_{HX}^{\text{eff 2}} \equiv \frac{\Gamma_{H \to X}}{\Gamma_{H \to X}^{\text{SM}}}$$



Sensitivity for deviations in effective Higgs couplings (from a global EFT fit – dim-6 SM Effective Field Theory)



Complementarity between ee/eh/hh colliders – case for the FCC project (Higgs coupling strength modifier parameters  $\kappa_i$  – assuming no BSM particles in Higgs boson decay) (expected relative precision)

|                        |                          |           |                  |              |              |             | $ \longrightarrow $ |
|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|---------------------|
| kappa-0-HL             | HL+FCC-ee <sub>240</sub> | HL+FCC-ee | HL+FCC-ee (4 IP) | HL+FCC-ee/hh | HL+FCC-eh/hh | HL+FCC-hh   | HL+FCC-ee/eh/hh     |
| $\kappa_W[\%]$         | 0.86                     | 0.38      | 0.23             | 0.27         | 0.17         | 0.39        | 0.14                |
| $\kappa_Z[\%]$         | 0.15                     | 0.14      | 0.094            | 0.13         | 0.27         | 0.63        | 0.12                |
| $\kappa_{g}[\%]$       | 1.1                      | 0.88      | 0.59             | 0.55         | 0.56         | 0.74        | 0.46                |
| $\kappa_{\gamma}[\%]$  | 1.3                      | 1.2       | 1.1              | 0.29         | 0.32         | 0.56        | 0.28                |
| $\kappa_{Z\gamma}[\%]$ | 10.                      | 10.       | 10.              | 0.7          | 0.71         | 0.89        | 0.68                |
| $\kappa_c[\%]$         | 1.5                      | 1.3       | 0.88             | 1.2          | 1.2          | -           | 0.94                |
| $\kappa_t [\%]$        | 3.1                      | 3.1       | 3.1              | 0.95         | 0.95         | 0.99        | 0.95                |
| $\kappa_b[\%]$         | 0.94                     | 0.59      | 0.44             | 0.5          | 0.52         | 0.99        | 0.41                |
| $\kappa_{\mu}[\%]$     | 4.                       | 3.9       | 3.3              | 0.41         | 0.45         | 0.68        | 0.41                |
| $\kappa_{	au}[\%]$     | 0.9                      | 0.61      | 0.39             | 0.49         | 0.63         | 0.9         | 0.42                |
| $\Gamma_H[\%]$         | 1.6                      | 0.87      | 0.55             | 0.67         | 0.61         | 1.3         | 0.44                |
|                        | $\overline{}$            |           |                  |              |              | A           | LL COMBINE          |
| onl                    | y FCC-ee@2               | 40GeV     |                  |              |              | only FCC-hł | ו                   |

Complementarity between ee/eh/hh colliders – case for the FCC project (Higgs coupling strength modifier parameters  $\kappa_i$  – assuming no BSM particles in Higgs boson decay) (expected relative precision)

|                        |                          |           | the coupling       | we looked         |              |             |                 |
|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------|
| kappa-0-HL             | HL+FCC-ee <sub>240</sub> | HL+FCC-ee | HL+ at on the prev | vious slide ee/hh | HL+FCC-eh/hh | HL+FCC-hh   | HL+FCC-ee/eh/hh |
| $\kappa_W[\%]$         | 0.86                     | 0.38      | 0.23               | 0.27              | 0.17         | 0.39        | 0.14            |
| $\kappa_Z[\%]$         | 0.15                     | 0.14      | 0.094              | 0.13              | 0.27         | 0.63        | 0.12            |
| $\kappa_{g}[\%]$       | 1.1                      | 0.88      | 0.59               | 0.55              | 0.56         | 0.74        | 0.46            |
| $\kappa_{\gamma}[\%]$  | 1.3                      | 1.2       | 1.1                | 0.29              | 0.32         | 0.56        | 0.28            |
| $\kappa_{Z\gamma}[\%]$ | 10.                      | 10.       | 10.                | 0.7               | 0.71         | 0.89        | 0.68            |
| $\kappa_c$ [%]         | 1.5                      | 1.3       | 0.88               | 1.2               | 1.2          | -           | 0.94            |
| $\kappa_t$ [%]         | 3.1                      | 3.1       | 3.1                | 0.95              | 0.95         | 0.99        | 0.95            |
| $\kappa_b[\%]$         | 0.94                     | 0.59      | 0.44               | 0.5               | 0.52         | 0.99        | 0.41            |
| $\kappa_{\mu}[\%]$     | 4.                       | 3.9       | 3.3                | 0.41              | 0.45         | 0.68        | 0.41            |
| $\kappa_{\tau}[\%]$    | 0.9                      | 0.61      | 0.39               | 0.49              | 0.63         | 0.9         | 0.42            |
| $\Gamma_H [\%]$        | 1.6                      | 0.87      | 0.55               | 0.67              | 0.61         | 1.3         | 0.44            |
|                        | $\overline{}$            |           |                    |                   |              | A           | ALL COMBINED    |
| onl                    | y FCC-ee@2               | 40GeV     |                    |                   |              | only FCC-hł | n               |

Complementarity between e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> and proton colliders

(Higgs coupling strength modifier parameters  $\kappa_i$  – assuming no BSM particles in Higgs boson decay) (expected relative precision)



Complementarity between e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> and proton colliders

(Higgs coupling strength modifier parameters  $\kappa_i$  – assuming no BSM particles in Higgs boson decay) (expected relative precision)





- 8

- 6

4

2

Comparable improvement from

HL-LHC to  $e^+e^-$  Higgs Factories

| LE                                    | leC  | HC   | C250      | C300        | 1000      | (C <sup>380</sup> ) | 1500              | 3000 C      | SPC <sup>e</sup> | e240      | e365      | hh        |
|---------------------------------------|------|------|-----------|-------------|-----------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| $g_{HZZ}^{\text{eff}}$ -              | 1.7  | 1.3  | 9.2       | $\geq 10$   | $\geq 10$ | 7.2                 | $\geq 10$         | $\geq 10$   | 8.0              | 7.7       | ∎<br>≥ 10 | $\geq 10$ |
| $g_{HWW}^{ m eff}$ –                  | 1.8  | 1.3  | 7.8       | $\geq 10$   | $\geq 10$ | 6.4                 | ≥ 10              | $\geq 10$   | 7.4              | 7.0       | ≥ 10      | $\geq 10$ |
| $g_{H\gamma\gamma}^{ m eff}$ –        | 1.6  | 1.4  | 2.8       | 3.0         | 3.3       | 2.6                 | 2.8               | 3.3         | 2.8              | 2.8       | 3.0       | $\geq 10$ |
| $g_{HZ\gamma}^{ m eff}$ –             | 1.1  | 2.4  | 1.1       | 1.6         | 1.6       | 1.1                 | 2.4               | 3.1         | 1.7              | 1.1       | 1.2       | $\geq 10$ |
| $g_{Hgg}^{ m eff}$ –                  | 1.4  | 1.9  | 2.1       | 2.9         | 4.2       | 1.8                 | 2.4               | 3.1         | 3.0              | 2.4       | 2.8       | 4.7       |
| $g_{Htt}^{\mathrm{eff}}$ –            | 1.1  | 2.1  | 1.1       | 1.2         | 2.3       | 1.1                 | 1.6               | 1.7         | 1.1              | 1.1       | 1.1       | 2.1       |
| $g_{Hcc}^{ m eff}$ –                  | *    | 1.0  | *         | *           | *         | *                   | *                 | *           | *                | *         | *         | *         |
| $g_{Hbb}^{ m eff}$ –                  | 2.8  | 1.5  | 6.7       | ≥ 10        | $\geq 10$ | 5.4                 | ≥ 10              | $\geq 10$   | 8.4              | 7.5       | 9.5       | $\geq 10$ |
| $g_{H	au	au}^{ m eff}$ –              | 1.5  | 1.4  | 4.1       | 5.8         | 6.8       | 2.6                 | 3.7               | 4.6         | 5.2              | 4.9       | 6.0       | 7.4       |
| $g_{H\mu\mu}^{\rm eff}$ -             | 1.2  | 1.9  | 1.3       | 1.4         | 1.6       | 1.3                 | 1.3               | 1.6         | 1.4              | 1.4       | 1.4       | $\geq 10$ |
| $\delta g_{1Z}[	imes 10^2]$ -         | 1.3  | 1.5  | 6.9       | ≥ 10        | $\geq 10$ | $\geq 10$           | ≥ 10              | $\geq 10$   | 7.6              | 7.8       | ≥ 10      | $\geq 10$ |
| $\delta\kappa_{\gamma}[	imes 10^2]$ - | 1.3  | 1.3  | $\geq 10$ | ≥ 10        | $\geq 10$ | $\geq 10$           | ≥ 10 <sup>2</sup> | $\geq 10^2$ | $\geq 10$        | $\geq 10$ | ≥ 10      | $\geq 10$ |
| $\lambda_Z[	imes 10^2]$ -             | 1.1  | 1.1  | $\geq 10$ | $\geq 10^2$ | $\geq 10$ | $\geq 10$           | ≥ 10 <sup>2</sup> | $\geq 10^3$ | $\geq 10$        | ≥ 10      | ≥ 10      | $\geq 10$ |
| 5                                     | SMEF | T NL | ,         | (*)         | not i     | neasure             | d at H            | L-LH        |                  |           |           |           |

the coupling we looked at on the previous slides

Comparable improvement from HL-LHC to e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> Higgs Factories

| L                                     | HE   | , IL | C IL      | ,40        | Q         |           | Q10               | 3. Q               | FCCe         |           | Cee/e/ | 50        |
|---------------------------------------|------|------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------|-----------|--------|-----------|
|                                       | "°С` | άC   | -250      | -500       | 1000      | -380 -    | 500               | <sup>30</sup> 00 ` | ч <b>г</b> С | 240       | 365    | "ah       |
| $g_{HZZ}^{\rm eff}$ –                 | 1.7  | 1.3  | 9.2       | $\geq 10$  | $\geq 10$ | 7.2       | $\geq 10$         | $\geq 10$          | 8.0          | 7.7       | ≥ 10   | $\geq 10$ |
| $g_{HWW}^{ m eff}$ –                  | 1.8  | 1.3  | 7.8       | ≥ 10       | $\geq 10$ | 6.4       | ≥ 10              | $\geq 10$          | 7.4          | 7.0       | ≥ 10   | $\geq 10$ |
| $g_{H\gamma\gamma}^{\rm eff}$ –       | 1.6  | 1.4  | 2.8       | 3.0        | 3.3       | 2.6       | 2.8               | 3.3                | 2.8          | 2.8       | 3.0    | $\geq 10$ |
| $g_{HZ\gamma}^{ m eff}$ –             | 1.1  | 2.4  | 1.1       | 1.6        | 1.6       | 1.1       | 2.4               | 3.1                | 1.7          | 1.1       | 1.2    | $\geq 10$ |
| $g_{Hgg}^{ m eff}$ –                  | 1.4  | 1.9  | 2.1       | 2.9        | 4.2       | 1.8       | 2.4               | 3.1                | 3.0          | 2.4       | 2.8    | 4.7       |
| $g_{Htt}^{ m eff}$ –                  | 1.1  | 2.1  | 1.1       | 1.2        | 2.3       | 1.1       | 1.6               | 1.7                | 1.1          | 1.1       | 1.1    | 2.1       |
| $g_{Hcc}^{ m eff}$ –                  | *    | 1.0  | *         | *          | *         | *         | *                 | *                  | *            | *         | *      | *         |
| $g_{Hbb}^{\mathrm{eff}}$ -            | 2.8  | 1.5  | 6.7       | ≥ 10       | $\geq 10$ | 5.4       | ≥ 10              | $\geq 10$          | 8.4          | 7.5       | 9.5    | $\geq 10$ |
| $g_{H	au	au}^{ m eff}$ –              | 1.5  | 1.4  | 4.1       | 5.8        | 6.8       | 2.6       | 3.7               | 4.6                | 5.2          | 4.9       | 6.0    | 7.4       |
| $g_{H\mu\mu}^{\rm eff}$ –             | 1.2  | 1.9  | 1.3       | 1.4        | 1.6       | 1.3       | 1.3               | 1.6                | 1.4          | 1.4       | 1.4    | $\geq 10$ |
| $\delta g_{1Z}[	imes 10^2]$ -         | 1.3  | 1.5  | 6.9       | ≥ 10       | $\geq 10$ | $\geq 10$ | ≥ 10              | $\geq 10$          | 7.6          | 7.8       | ≥ 10   | $\geq 10$ |
| $\delta\kappa_{\gamma}[	imes 10^2]$ - | 1.3  | 1.3  | $\geq 10$ | ≥ 10       | $\geq 10$ | $\geq 10$ | $\ge 10^2$        | $\geq 10^2$        | $\geq 10$    | $\geq 10$ | ≥ 10   | $\geq 10$ |
| $\lambda_Z[	imes 10^2]$ -             | 1.1  | 1.1  | $\geq 10$ | $\ge 10^2$ | $\geq 10$ | $\geq 10$ | ≥ 10 <sup>2</sup> | $\geq 10^3$        | $\geq 10$    | $\geq 10$ | ≥ 10   | $\geq 10$ |
|                                       | SMEF | T ND |           | (*)        | not r     | neasure   | a at H            | L-LH               |              |           |        |           |

Results from a global EFT fit for FCC-hh with any of the four e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> Higgs Factories proposed

|   |  |                               | $\overline{}$      |                |                              |
|---|--|-------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|------------------------------|
|   | SMEFT <sub>ND</sub>                    | HL-ILC <sub>250</sub> +FCC-hh | HL+CLIC3801-FCC-hh | HL+CEPC+FCC-hb | HI,+FCC-ee <sub>365</sub> hh |
| 0 | geff [%]                               | 0.35                          | 0.46               | 0.38           | 0.21                         |
|   | $g_{HWW}^{eff}$ [%]                    | 0.36                          | 0.46               | 0.36           | 0.21                         |
|   | gHyy [%]                               | 0.47                          | 0.55               | 0.48           | 0.38                         |
|   | $g_{HZ\gamma}^{\text{eff}}[\%]$        | 0.78                          | 0.83               | 0.76           | 0.72                         |
| 3 | $g_{Hgg}^{\text{eff}}[\%]$             | 0.73                          | 0.88               | 0.54           | 0.56                         |
|   | 8H11[%]                                | 3.1                           | 2.2                | 3.1            | 1.7                          |
|   | $g_{Hcc}[\%]$                          | 1.8                           | 3.9                | 1.8            | 1.2                          |
|   | g <sub>Hbb</sub> [%]                   | 0.75                          | 0.95               | 0.58           | 0.51                         |
|   | $g_{H\tau\tau}[\%]$                    | 0.78                          | 1.2                | 0.61           | 0.54                         |
| 5 | <u></u> 8нµµ [%]                       | 0.54                          | 0.61               | 0.53           | 0.46                         |
|   | $\delta g_{1Z}[	imes 10^2]$            | 0.078                         | 0.04               | 0.08           | 0.028                        |
|   | $\delta \kappa_{\gamma} [\times 10^2]$ | 0.12                          | 0.079              | 0.089          | 0.048                        |
|   | $\lambda_Z[\times 10^2]$               | 0.042                         | 0.043              | 0.1            | 0.047                        |

#### Differences at e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> colliders:

model independent total width  $\Gamma_{\rm H}$  measured at circular (1.1%@FCC-ee) and linear (2.2%@ILC250)

#### the combination of 250 GeV and >250 GeV e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> data is key ILC @ 250+500 GeV would reach 1.1%

00000

The Higgs boson cubic self-coupling ( $\kappa_3$ )





### Principle collider avenues to seek new phenomena

High-energy colliders have a unique capability to address the most profound open questions in particle physics

Although with novel theoretical reasoning we are given several avenues where we <u>could</u> find new physics, we do not know where we <u>will</u> find new physics

This provides an argument, in a global context, for an inclusive collider programme exploiting complementary ee/eh/hh future colliders aiming for broad coverage

... strategy, next presentation ...



## The bold and the beautiful of colliders

- With the HL-LHC and SuperKEKB the immediate future for particle physics colliders looks bright, and provides ample opportunities for innovative experimental and theoretical research to unlock physics that was initially thought to be out of reach at these colliders
- Motivated by physics arguments, e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> Higgs Factories are technically ready to become operational in our medium-term future and with the ambition to integrate the concepts of B/c/τ, EW and top quark Factories in their research programs
- Because together they address complementary open questions in particle physics, the above is to be complemented with a new energy frontier machine, potentially at a later stage, to unlock the physics potential of 100 TeV proton collisions

## The bold and the beautiful of colliders

- With the HL-LHC and SuperKEKB the immediate future for particle physics colliders looks bright, and provides ample opportunities for innovative experimental and theoretical research to unlock physics that was initially thought to be out of reach at these colliders
- Motivated by physics arguments, e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> Higgs Factories are technically ready to become operational in our medium-term future and with the ambition to integrate the concepts of B/c/τ, EW and top quark Factories in their research programs
- Because together they address complementary open questions in particle physics, the above is to be complemented with a new energy frontier machine, potentially at a later stage, to unlock the physics potential of 100 TeV proton collisions

In my view, we have a few years in front of us to join forces on a global scale to organize together our concrete ambition for the colliders of the 21<sup>st</sup> century ... and if we do this together, it better be with a bold moonshot ambition

Thank you for your attention!

## The bold and the beautiful of colliders

- With the HL-LHC and SuperKEKB the immediate future for particle physics colliders looks bright, and provides ample opportunities for innovative experimental and theoretical research to unlock physics that was initially thought to be out of reach at these colliders
- Motivated by physics arguments, e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> Higgs Factories are technically ready to become operational in our medium-term future and with the ambition to integrate the concepts of B/c/τ, EW and top quark Factories in their research programs
- Because together they address complementary open questions in particle physics, the above is to be complemented with a new energy frontier machine, potentially at a later stage, to unlock the physics potential of 100 TeV proton collisions

In my view, we have a few years in front of us to join forces on a global scale to organize together our concrete ambition for the colliders of the 21<sup>st</sup> century ... and if we do this together, it better be with a bold moonshot ambition



· 10

- 8

- 6

4

- 2



#### Large improvement when adding FCC-hh





<sup>[</sup>J. de Blas et al., JHEP 01 (2020) 139]

Results from a global EFT fit for FCC-hh with any of the four e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> Higgs Factories proposed

| SMEFT <sub>ND</sub>                   | HL-ILC250+FCC-hh | HL+CLIC380+FCC-hh | HL+CEPC+FCC-hh | HI.+FCC-ee365 hh |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|------------------|
| geff<br>gHZZ [%]                      | 0.35             | 0.46              | 0.38           | 0.21             |
| g <sup>eff</sup> <sub>HWW</sub> [%]   | 0.36             | 0.46              | 0.36           | 0.21             |
| $g_{H\gamma\gamma}^{\text{eff}}[\%]$  | 0.47             | 0.55              | 0.48           | 0.38             |
| $g_{HZ\gamma}^{\text{eff}}[\%]$       | 0.78             | 0.83              | 0.76           | 0.72             |
| $g_{Hgg}^{\text{eff}}[\%]$            | 0.73             | 0.88              | 0.54           | 0.56             |
| gH11[%]                               | 3.1              | 2.2               | 3.1            | 1.7              |
| g <sub>Hcc</sub> [%]                  | 1.8              | 3.9               | 1.8            | 1.2              |
| 8Hbb[%]                               | 0.75             | 0.95              | 0.58           | 0.51             |
| $g_{H\tau\tau}[\%]$                   | 0.78             | 1.2               | 0.61           | 0.54             |
| <u></u> 8нµµ [%]                      | 0.54             | 0.61              | 0.53           | 0.46             |
| $\delta g_{1Z}[\times 10^2]$          | 0.078            | 0.04              | 0.08           | 0.028            |
| $\delta \kappa_{\gamma} [	imes 10^2]$ | 0.12             | 0.079             | 0.089          | 0.048            |
| $\lambda_Z[	imes 10^2]$               | 0.042            | 0.043             | 0.1            | 0.047            |

<u>Differences</u>: model independent total width  $\Gamma_{\rm H}$  measured at circular (1.1%@FCC-ee) and linear (2.2%@ILC250)  $e^+e^-$  colliders is different

ILC @ 500 GeV would reach 1.1%

(the combination of 250 GeV and >250 GeV  $e^+e^-$  data is relevant)

Results from a global EFT fit for FCC-hh with any of the four e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> Higgs Factories proposed

|                                     | SMEFT <sub>ND</sub>                    | HL-ILC250+FCC-hh | HL+CLIC380+FCC-hh | HL+CEPC+FCC-hh | HI.+FCC-ee <sub>365</sub> hh |
|-------------------------------------|--|------------------|-------------------|----------------|------------------------------|
|                                     | $g_{HZZ}^{\text{eff}}[\%]$             | 0.35             | 0.46              | 0.38           | 0.21                         |
|                                     | g <sup>eff</sup> <sub>HWW</sub> [%]    | 0.36             | 0.46              | 0.36           | 0.21                         |
| K. Jacobs (ATLAS) & R. Carlin (CMS) | $g_{H\gamma\gamma}^{\rm eff}$ [%]      | 0.47             | 0.55              | 0.48           | 0.38                         |
| reminder: today's uncertainty       | $g_{HZ\gamma}^{\text{eff}}[\%]$        | 0.78             | 0.83              | 0.76           | 0.72                         |
|                                     | $g_{Hgg}^{\text{eff}}[\%]$             | 0.73             | 0.88              | 0.54           | 0.56                         |
| on $\kappa_{\mu}$ is ~20-25%        | gH11[%]                                | 3.1              | 2.2               | 3.1            | 1.7                          |
|                                     | $g_{Hcc}[\%]$                          | 1.8              | 3.9               | 1.8            | 1.2                          |
|                                     | g <sub>Hbb</sub> [%]                   | 0.75             | 0.95              | 0.58           | 0.51                         |
|                                     | 94 [%]                                 | 0.78             | 1.2               | 0.61           | 0.54                         |
|                                     | gнµµ[%]                                | 0.54 %           | 0.61 %            | 0.53 %         | 0.46 %                       |
|                                     | $\delta g_{1Z}[\times 10^2]$           | 0.078            | 0.04              | 0.08           | 0.028                        |
|                                     | $\delta \kappa_{\gamma} [\times 10^2]$ | 0.12             | 0.079             | 0.089          | 0.048                        |
|                                     | $\lambda_Z[	imes 10^2]$                | 0.042            | 0.043             | 0.1            | 0.047                        |
|                                     |  |                  |                   |                |                              |

<u>Differences</u>: model independent total width  $\Gamma_{\rm H}$  measured at circular (1.1%@FCC-ee) and linear (2.2%@ILC250)

#### e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> colliders is different

ILC @ 500 GeV would reach 1.1%

(the combination of 250 GeV and >250 GeV  $e^+e^-$  data is relevant)

### Empowering the HL-LHC program with the LHeC



50

## Colliding beams & physics beyond collider expt's

The CERN accelerator complex and the LHC – protons from **Booster** only <0.1% to LHC





## Colliding beams & physics beyond collider expt's

The CERN accelerator complex and the LHC – protons from Booster only <0.1% to LHC



51587

Recent innovations in instrumentation, software, computing, analysis techniques and theoretical reasoning unlocked several new avenues for physics research at the LHC that were previously thought unreachable... the HL-LHC platform is outstanding for innovations!



Example: search for Dark Photons (Z<sub>D</sub>) decaying to a pair of muons in data from scouting triggers

[CMS Collab., CMS-PAS-EXO-19-018, in the CERN Courier, Dec 2019]

## Principle collider avenues to seek new phenomena

<u>Light-DM</u>: particles below the EW scale in a hidden/dark sector interacting feebly with SM particles <u>Example</u>: axion-like particles (*a*) as a pseudo-scalar mediator to a Dark sector with light DM particles (related to ideas to address the strong CP problem)



#### The Future Collider landscape for particle physics

#### e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> colliders

#### SuperKEKB@10.5GeV

ILC@250GeV CLIC@380GeV FCC-ee@90-360GeV CEPC@90-240GeV

> ILC@>250GeV CLIC@1.5-3TeV

proton colliders

LHC@14TeV HL-LHC@14TeV

HE-LHC@27TeV

FCC-hh@100TeV SppC@100TeV



#### The Future Collider landscape for particle physics

#### e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> colliders

#### SuperKEKB@10.5GeV

ILC@250GeV CLIC@380GeV FCC-ee@90-360GeV CEPC@90-240GeV

> ILC@>250GeV CLIC@1.5-3TeV

proton colliders

<u>LHC@14TeV</u> <u>HL-LHC@14TeV</u>

HE-LHC@27TeV

FCC-hh@100TeV SppC@75-150TeV muon collider

3 – 10+ TeV

ep/eA colliders

EIC@up to 0.13TeV LHeC@up to 1.3TeV FCC-eh@up to 3.5TeV