

Collaboration Board chair candidate

*"Highlights on the envisaged
CB organization"*

Jorgen D'Hondt
Professor of Physics
Vrije Universiteit Brussel
Inter-univ. Institute for High Energies
Belgium

jodhondt@vub.ac.be

<http://w3.iihe.ac.be/~dhondt/CB-chair-Jorgen-DHondt.html>



Vrije Universiteit Brussel

Observations & Motivation

- DYNAMISM** – our CMS experiment is a very dynamic endeavour, hence the CB should be organized with the same dynamism in order to ensure a smooth, timely and natural evolution rather than to encounter unavoidably revolutionary hordes
- ENTHUSIASM** – creating the organizational structure to build up the best experimental device as well as to perform physics analyses at the forefront of high-energy physics is a never-ending motivation, an enthusiasm I wish to inject into the CB discussions
- INNOVATION** – to make sure we obtain the best platform of excellence on which all our researchers can participate in developing creative and novel technologies and methods to explore particle collisions
- CHALLENGE** – the exceptionally diverse tasks of the CB chair mandate surely require the best of an individual, hence my experience inside and outside our collaboration will be very useful

How will I organize the CB ?

MANDATE – you can of course ask my opinion on diverse topics in CMS, but the CB chair does not make decisions, it is a decision searching mandate, hence when elected I will ask your opinion!

STIMULATE – open debates that are well prepared and organized efficiently will reinforce the level of involvement of CB members

PRO-ACTIVE – be reachable & prior to CB meetings and with the help of the advisory board seek one-to-one discussions with CB members on the issues to be addressed during the coming CB meeting

COMMUNICATION – keep on exploring all possible communication technologies to allow all institutions to participate in the debates, and centralize the general communication

ADVICE – an advisory board helps the CB chair in accumulating information, it serves as a first platform for discussions and helps to steer other committees of the CB, but it is not a filter mechanism nor a decision making board; create a Board for Young CMS researchers

What would I like to reach ?

COLLABORATION – joined publications result from joined responsibility, a correct plan for “service work” as well as collegiality in our activities is at the basis of our success, it strengthens our collaboration

QUALITY – in our research we should never compromise the excellence of our results (“slow science” is better than “wrong science”), and be positive towards all of our correct and relevant scientific results

INREACH – an open communication spirit within our collaboration as baseline but without jeopardizing the functioning of the collaboration

OUTREACH – correct procedures for a coherent communication after a thorough scientific debate, and help all institutions to prepare this

RECOGNITION – extended award systems to allocate credits to groups or individuals on the basis of excellence in research from hardware to physics, and make these credits visible inside and outside CMS

FUTURE – ensure an optimal transition 2012→2015, both from infrastructure and human resources point of view (keep everyone on board)